

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended. ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATA SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and data specified.

(-)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: <i>(Specify authority)</i> THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES <i>(such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.)</i> SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER <i>Specify type of modification and authority)</i>

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

This amendment is issued to answer questions received as of 3/7/2008 on BAA No. DTRT57-08-R-20021. This amendment is issued for both the Scanned>PDF and the Word>PDF BAA No. DTRT57-08-R-20021 solicitation document.

This amendment also provides an additional email address for the Contracting Officer – cook@volpe.dot.gov

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Orin D. Cook	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

Questions to BAA – DTRT57-08-R-20021

- Q1. I'm wondering if you would **strongly** encourage us to apply. We are very interested in this opportunity but given the amount of preparation work and the short duration we would need to decide if it's something worth pursuing. It is not entirely clear from the (platform) for system wide implementation of ITS. Any information will be greatly appreciated.
- A1. Everyone must determine on their own to respond or not. All proposals received will be evaluated. The BAA seeks proposals for test and evaluation of integrated ITS applications irrespective of the technology or business model employed.
- Q2. Could you please clarify the budgetary figure on page 14 of the BAA? The text indicates that the government reserves the right to make "awards", plural, up to \$3M depending on the site and requirements for installation and testing. Does this indicate that multiple sites could be given up to \$3M each, or is the size of the budget for the BAA a total of \$3M? In the latter case, would it be possible that a superior test site could be given the entire \$3M budget and other proposing test sites would receive no funding?
- A2. The BAA does not indicate the total funding available; however cost sharing is strongly encouraged to enable limited Government funding to be leveraged to allow for multiple awards. Individual proposals in excess of \$3M (in terms of funding and/or the cost of items proposed to be furnished by the Government) will not be considered. It is possible that a superior test site may be awarded \$3M and it is possible that no site will be awarded \$3M. or possibly any amount as the Government reserves the right to not make any awards.
- Q3. I'd like to submit the following questions regarding the SAFE TRIP-21 FIELD TEST SITES AND ITS APPLICATIONS initiative:
- A. Is there an incumbent contract for these or similar services?
 - B. What is the applicable NAICS code for this requirement?
 - C. What types of contracts will be awarded?
- A3.
- A. No.
 - B. The NAICS code will be determined on the award document.
 - C. As appropriate to the proposal: Purchase orders, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other transactions.
- Q4. In carefully reading the SAFE TRIP-21 BAA that was published last week, I have two questions regarding the content:
- A. Was Section 7 inadvertently omitted, or is Section 8 just mis-numbered? The numbering jumps from Section 6 to Section 8.

B. Twice in the document, there is a reference to "other SAFE TRIP-21 applications"; once on page 4, and again in Appendix A on page 16. What do you mean by "other SAFE TRIP-21 applications"? Does it mean applications developed elsewhere by other applicants?

A4. A. There is no Section 7.

B. Other SAFE TRIP-21 applications are any ITS application that furthers the goals of SAFE TRIP-21 whether or not it is enumerated in the BAA and Appendix A as an example. It could be developed by anyone.

Q.5 How will procurement be done for this? Directly from U.S. DOT (or other federal agency) or if we partner with a state DOT, thru that state DOT?

A.5 Procurement strategies will be developed based on the proposals with a view toward expediency such that the availability of existing contracts and agreements will be considered. The award will be made to the designated lead on the BAA response. If you partner with a state DOT as the lead, the award would be made to the state DOT. If you apply directly as the sole or lead partner, the expectation is that the award will be made directly to you.

Q6. I have downloaded a copy of the SAFE TRIP-21 Field Test Sites & ITS Applications BAA. We do not offer applications, although we can be contracted under a service agreement to develop such.

Would it be possible to propose its deployment ready products which are site and application independent, or must we partner with someone proposing an application?

A6. BAA responses must propose either a field test site including any associated ITS applications or ITS applications independent of a test site. Proposals that do not address one of these options will be deemed non-responsive and eliminated from further consideration.

Q7. The copy of the SOL_08R20021 from the Volpe site is a scanned image rather than proper PDF. Hence I cannot search and manipulate for response. Is it possible to get a copy produced as WORD > PDF rather than from SCANNER > PDF?

A7. A searchable PDF file was provided via Amendment No. 0001.

Q8. My question ultimately is whether we can submit both applications with and without test-bed sites? This would entail at least 2 separate applications. Or could we outline several proposals in one submission with multiple sets of partners. For clarity, I would prefer to submit separate proposals.

A8. Offerors may submit multiple proposals in whatever combination they see fit. Section 3.1 of BAA states that offerors may submit more than one proposal; however, each technical proposal must only describe the following:

1. A field test site with associated ITS applications.

2. ITS applications or technologies independent of a test bed, but that can clearly support SAFE TRIP-21 goals and objectives and that are suitable for incorporation into a test bed.

Q9. The BAA jumps from Section 3.1 to 3.1.2 without a Section 3.1.1. Is Section 3.1.1 missing?

A9. There is no Section 3.1.1.